

ROLE OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE AND KEY CHALLENGES

Planning Advisory Committee – 7 July 2015

Report of Chief Planning Officer

Status: For Information

Key Decision: No

Portfolio Holder Cllr. Piper

Contact Officer Richard Morris Ext. 7430

Recommendation to the Planning Advisory Committee: That the report be noted.

Introduction

- 1 The purpose of this report is to provide details of the role of the Committee and the areas of responsibility including the key issues and challenges facing those areas.
- 2 The Terms of Reference are listed below and there will be a short presentation explaining the relevant service areas.

Terms of Reference

- 3 The following terms of reference are taken from the Council's Constitution.
 - a. The Advisory Committee shall undertake policy initiation and development;
 - b. The Advisory Committee shall consider such other matters as are referred to it by the Portfolio Holder;
 - c. At the request of either Cabinet or the Audit Committee carry out specific research and development projects and to submit recommendations to Cabinet;
 - d. The Advisory Committee shall develop and approve its annual work plan ensuring that there is efficient use of the Committee's time; and
 - e. The Cabinet Member be requested to provide a report to each meeting outlining their activities since the previous meeting and any decisions they intend to take in the following three months.
- 1.1. Within the areas of responsibility of: Conservation, Development Services, Development Control, Local Plan, Planning Policy, Building control, Transport policy, and Enforcement. In addition to the above the Planning Advisory

Committee is to recommend, and keep under review, governance arrangements for the prioritisation of the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

- 4 The Cabinet Advisory Committee to ensure that there is mutual respect and cooperation with all other Committees within the Council.

Key Implications

Financial

None.

Legal Implications and Risk Assessment Statement.

None.

Equality Assessment

The decisions recommended through this paper have a remote or low relevance to the substance of the Equality Act. There is no perceived impact on end users.

Appendices None

Background Papers: None

Richard Morris
Chief Planning Officer